

# M'Carthy Warns CIA Head Fight on Bundy Isn't Over

By Allen Drury

Senator McCarthy, Republican, of Wisconsin, today accused Director Allen Dulles of the Central Intelligence Agency of "protection and cover-up" in the case of William F. Bundy. He said he will submit his view of the matter to the Senate Investigations Subcommittee "and undoubtedly to the Senate upon its return."

The Wisconsin Senator's smoldering feud with the CIA director broke into the open again with Mr. McCarthy's release of correspondence between the two men extending over the past three weeks. In the letters Mr. Dulles refused to tell the Senator Mr. Bundy's explanation for a contribution of \$400 to a defense fund for Alger Hiss. In reply Senator McCarthy wrote Mr. Dulles on August 3:

"Your insistence that the Congress is not entitled to obtain information about improper conduct on the part of your top officers is extremely revealing—doubly so in view of the material which has been coming to me within the past few weeks. Unfortunately the Congress is going out of session tonight so that no action can be taken in the case of your protection and cover-up of Bundy. However, the full matter will be submitted to my Committee and undoubtedly to the Senate upon its return.

"Matter Cannot Rest."

"I might add that it would seem that the last man in the world who would try to protect and hide the facts about one of his top officers' associations with and contributions to a convicted traitor would be the head of the CIA. I think it is unnecessary for me to call your attention to the tremendous damage you thereby do this organization. That the matter cannot and will not rest here is, of course, obvious."

Mr. Bundy at present is assistant to the adviser of the CIA's Office of National Estimates, serving on the planning board of the National Security Council. He joined CIA in June, 1951, and has held his present assignment since June, 1952.

Senator McCarthy first aired his charges against Mr. Bundy over a month ago. The result was a meeting with Mr. Dulles which was followed by separate statements from the two men apparently indicating considerable disagreement over methods

CIA. The Senator agreed initially, however, that he would let Mr. Dulles do whatever investigation of Mr. Bundy seemed to be indicated.

## Asked Confirmation.

In a letter dated July 16 and previously released to the press, Senator McCarthy asked Mr. Dulles to confirm whether Mr. Bundy had actually made the Hiss contribution and had actually belonged to a Communist-front organization. He also demanded to know whether Mr. Bundy said he made the Hiss contribution because he felt "that it was imperative that Hiss be freed of any charge having to do with Communism or espionage; that . . . for the sake of the Democratic Party he should aid in clearing Alger Hiss; that he contributed to help his father-in-law, Dean Acheson."

On July 22, Mr. Dulles informed the Senator that Mr. Bundy in a CIA security check when he first applied for employment disclosed that he made the \$400 contribution. He added, however, "that the reasons, as given by Mr. Bundy, for this contribution are not in accord, in substantial respects, with those given in your letter."

"This record, together with your letter, will be submitted in connection with the re-evaluation of Mr. Bundy's case under the President's Executive Order 10450, pursuant to directive from the Civil Service Commission dated 9 July," Mr. Dulles wrote. "I believe that further comment on this point should await this review, the outcome of which

will be communicated to your subcommittee, pursuant to our conversation of July 14."

Mr. Dulles also informed the Senator that Mr. Bundy in the summer of 1940 while working in the Library of Congress "joined the United Public Workers of America Union, attended one meeting and resigned his membership at the end of a two-month period."

"We understand that allegations concerning Communist influence in this union were made some six years after Mr. Bundy's resignation. The record does not indicate that Mr. Bundy has ever belonged to any other organization which might fall within the scope of your third question."

Mr. Dulles said the CIA files indicated that all of the Senator's charges had been subject to "complete field investigation, personal interview and subsequent review and favorable determination by the CIA Loyalty Board and the Loyalty Review Board, of the Civil Service Commission."

## Wants to Know Reasons.

Senator McCarthy replied on July 27 that Mr. Dulles' confirmation of Mr. Bundy's \$400 contribution to Hiss "raises the gravest question as to his holding a sensitive post in an intelligence agency, a good part of the work of which must involve the detection of activities of people such as Alger Hiss."

Mr. Dulles, the Senator said, should explain more fully Mr. Bundy's reasons for making the contribution. Mr. Dulles replied on August 1:

"After most careful consideration of your letter, I feel that the proper course to pursue is to follow the procedure described in the President's executive order

and, under these circumstances, I do not feel that it would be appropriate for me to comment on certain phases of the matter pending the conclusion of these proceedings."

Yesterday the Senator fired back his reply informing Mr. Dulles he would submit the entire matter to his subcommittee and the Senate.

## Senator Smith Blocks McCarthy on Reports

Senator McCarthy's Investigations subcommittee can file no reports with the Senate during adjournment without approval of a majority of the 13-member Government Operations Committee.

The ban was imposed on the subcommittee late last night when Senator Smith, Republican, of Maine blocked what appeared to be a routine resolution.

The resolution, offered by Acting Republican Leader Knowland, asked the Senate's permission that the subcommittee be allowed to submit reports of its investigations to the Senate and have them printed at Government expense during adjournment.

The parliamentary situation allowed the objection of a single Senator to bar the resolution. Mrs. Smith objected. As a member of the parent committee, she said she felt that "with only four Senators left" on the subcommittee, all of them Republicans, the resolution should be changed so that approval of a majority of the full committee would be required for the filing and printing of reports.

The three Democrats assigned to the committee walked out last month in protest against a subcommittee vote which gave Chairman McCarthy sole hiring and firing authority over the subcommittee's staff.

The subcommittee is preparing a report on its investigation of the overseas library program. This report probably will be the first to need approval by at least seven members of the full committee.